

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Arnold HOFFMAN et al
 Application No.: 10/621,326
 Filed: July 18, 2003
 For: REDOX THERAPY FOR TUMORS



Art Unit: 1614
 Examiner: C. D. Muirhe
 Washington, D.C.
 Atty.'s Docket: HOFFMAN=9
 Confirmation No.: 2518
 Date: March 17, 2006

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment
 Honorable Commissioner for Patents
 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
 Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street
 Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a REPLY TO SECOND RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT AND SECOND REQUIREMENT FOR ELECTION OF SPECIES in the above-identified application.

☒ Small Entity Status: Applicant(s) claim small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27.

☒ No additional fee is required.

☐ The fee has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY		OR	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL	* 16	MINUS	** 20	0	x 25	\$		x 50	\$
INDEP.	* 2	MINUS	*** 3	0	x 100	\$		x 200	\$
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM					+ 180	\$		+ 360	\$
					ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL	\$	OR	TOTAL	\$

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

☒ Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

☐ It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity

Response Filed Within

☐ First - \$ 60.00
☐ Second - \$ 225.00
☐ Third - \$ 510.00
☐ Fourth - \$ 795.00

Month After Time Period Set

Other Than Small Entity

Response Filed Within

☐ First - \$ 120.00
☐ Second - \$ 450.00
☐ Third - \$ 1020.00
☐ Fourth - \$ 1590.00

Month After Time Period Set

☐ Less fees (\$) already paid for ___ month(s) extension of time on _____.

☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$ _____.

☐ Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$ _____.

☐ A check in the amount of \$ _____ is attached (check no.).

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By: 
 Sheridan Neimark
 Registration No. 20,520

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
 Telephone: (202) 628-5197



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	Confirmation No.: 2518
)	
Arnold HOFFMAN et al)	Art Unit: 1614
)	
Appln. No.: 10/621,326)	Examiner: C. D. Muirhe
)	
Filing Date: July 18, 2003)	March 17, 2006
)	
For: REDOX THERAPY FOR TUMORS)	ATTY.'S DOCKET: HOFFMAN=9

**REPLY TO SECOND RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT AND SECOND
REQUIREMENT FOR ELECTION OF SPECIES**

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment
Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Sir:

Applicants acknowledge receipt of and reply below to the second restriction requirement and the second requirement for election of species.

Insofar as the restriction requirement is concerned, it appears to be a repetition of the first restriction requirement to which applicants already replied on November 4, 2005. Applicants respectfully repeat that earlier election, namely applicants respectfully and provisionally elect Group II, presently claims 5-16, with traverse and without prejudice, and respectfully repeat applicants' traversal from the earlier Reply appearing in the first two paragraphs on page 2 thereof. These are, very briefly, the Group I claims are simply broader or more generic than the Group II claims; and, as no separate